NORTHILL PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT APPENDICES

APPENDICES: INDEX

Appendix 1	Criteria for Site Assessment	3
Appendix 2	Landowner Questionnaire	5
Appendix 3	Steering Group Site Determination Criteria & Log Sheet	13
Appendix 4	Green (Preferred Sites) & Amber (May Be Preferred Sites)	1!
Appendix 5	Red (Sites Not Preferred)	3!
Appendix 6	Comment Sheet from Site Exhibitions	4:
Appendix 7	Summary Table of Site Preferences	4!
Appendix 8	Final Table of Site Preferences	47
Appendix 9	Letter to Landowners	49

APPENDIX 1

CRITERIA FOR SITE ASSESSMENT

THE SITE ALLOCATION PROCESS (Sept 2015 Exhibition)

The recent workshops and consultations have produced over 20 suggested sites in the parish that could possibly be developed for housing. Central Bedfordshire Council also called for landowners to offer sites earlier this year, although information about their locations is not yet available. In conjunction with landowners, we will be assessing our sites for suitability against certain criteria, some of which could be:

PRIMARY CONSTRAINTS

Site size – CBC have a site threshold of 15 units in their call-for-sites

Flood risk – Is the site wholly located in the floodplain 2/3? (more than 50%)

Nationally significant designations

RELATIONSHIP TO SETTLEMENT

Stand alone or adjacent/within main settlement?

Scale and size of proposed development in comparison to settlement.

PHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS

Access Issues

Utilities - eg Capacity issues?

Drainage and Flooding Issues

Contamination – any issues

Physical constraints – permanent features that affect developability

Adjoining uses - eg Conflict with adjoining uses?

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

Landscape character

Impact on heritage/archaeological assets

Impact on biological/geological/ecological assets

Impact on safeguarded minerals and waste sites?

Open space/leisure and green infrastructure designations/opportunities

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Proximity to services and facilities

Previously developed land/brownfield land

Agricultural land quality - Would the development impact on high quality agricultural land?

Relationship with settlement

Infrastructure Provision - Does the site provide any infrastructure?

Planning History

APPENDIX 2

LANDOWNER QUESTIONNAIRE



HOUSING SITE	ASSESSMENT FORM
Section 1: SITE DETAILS:	
Name of site:	Date Site visited:
NP Ref No:	SHLAA Ref No:
Settlement:	Size (Hectares):
Brief Site Description:	
How is Site Accessed?	
Present Use:	
Planning History – previous applications & res	sults:

Section 2: AVAILABILITY (Confirmation of ownership and availability of land for development)					
Ownership					
1. Is the land sole or jointly ow	ned? (please tick) Sole		Joint		
Name of Landowner(s)	Address of landow	ner(s)	Co	ntact Deta	ils
	-				
Availability of land for dev	•				
2. Is/are the owners willing to	•	nent?	Yes	Maybe	No
3. When will the site become a	·				
4. Could .the site be developed within the next 13 years?		Yes	Maybe	No	
5. Are there any legal or ownership constraints in relation to the land?		No	Maybe	Yes	
6. Are there any existing long tenancies on the site?		No		Yes	
Assessors Name: (Capitals)	Assessors Signature:	Date:			

Out Tata							1
			Sub Tot	ais:	Col A+E	Col	B+C
		SECTION 2 AVAILAR		DE.	COI A+E	S COI	D+C
Site is sui	tahle	Site may be suitable (con	SECTION 2 – AVAILABILITY SCORE:			t suitable	
(continue ass		assessment)	uriuc			er action)	
(continuo doc		<u> </u>			(110 TGTTT	or action,	
		we are the legal owners of the Housing Site Assessment (we a	gree with	the state	ments
Landowners Na (Capitals)	me(s):	Landowners signatur	e:		Da	ate:	
(Capitais)							
SECTION 3. A	CHIEVARII	ITY (Is there a reasonable p	prospect tha	t hoi	ısina will	he develo	ned on
the site given ph	ysical site cor	straints and economic viabi					
Development							\ /
Site status		currently in use?			No		Yes
	8. Would dev	elopment require the demol tures?	ition of		No		Yes
		currently Greenfield (GF). ld (BF). Mixed (Mxd). / Not known (NK).		,	BF	Mxd NK	GF
If mixed – please		a (Dr.). mixea (mxa). 7 mer	14.1 0 11.11 (1.11.1)	<u>/· </u>			
Physical Featur							
Nationally		e from nationally important s	sites (please	•	>800m	400-	<400m
significant designations	circle ii app	ilicable). Not applicable	icable). Not applicable			800m	
Flooding	11. Is site w	site within flood risk zones 3a &3b based on nment Agency maps?		No		Yes	
1 14:11:41		e site been known to flood?			No	NA la -	Yes
Utilities	13. Is site affected by significant infrastructure crossing the site (e.g.: power lines, pipes)			No	Maybe	Yes	
Contamination	 14. Do issues exist? 15. Do nearby sources of air pollution exist? 16. Do nearby sources of noise pollution exist? 			No	Maybe	Yes	
				No	Maybe	Yes	
				No	Maybe	Yes	
		17. Are there likely historic mineral or waste			No	Maybe	Yes
considerations on the site?							
18. Do public rights of way exi					No		Yes
		st adjacent to the site?		-+	No		Yes
Assessors Nam		existing infrastructure? Assessors Signature:	Date:		Yes		No
(Capitals)		Assessors orginature.	Date.				
(
			Sub Tota	ls:			
							DTC

SECT	ION 3 – ACHIEVABILITY – S	CORE:
Site is suitable	Site may be suitable	Site is not suitable
(continue assessment)	(continue assessment)	(no further action)

SECTION 4:	SUSTAINABILITY/SUITABILITY (Is the site a suitable	e location	for develop	oment					
	against policy restrictions)								
Location									
Settlement	21. Is the site in the settlement envelope?	Yes		No					
Envelope	22. Is the site adjacent to the settlement boundary?	No		Yes					
	23. Is the development site in the open countryside?	No		Yes					
Environmenta	Environmental aspects								
Conservation	24. Is the site in a conservation area?	No		Yes					
	25. Is the site adjacent to the conservation area?	No		Yes					
	26. Proximity of site to conservation area (please	>800	400-	<400m					
	circle if applicable). Not applicable	m	800m						
	27. Will site development have a detrimental impact on views into a conservation area?	No	Maybe	Yes					
	28. Will site development have a detrimental impact on the setting of a conservation area?	No	Maybe	Yes					
	29. Will site development have a detrimental impact on approaches to a conservation area?	No	Maybe	Yes					
	30. Would the development impact on high quality agricultural land?	No	Don't know	Yes					
Historic	31. Is the site adjacent to a listed building?	No		Yes					
environment	32. Are there archaeological features/ finds which could impact on the development of the site?	No	Not known	Yes					
Flooding	33. Is the site likely to give rise to lack of sewer capacity and resultant flooding?	No	Maybe	Yes					
	34. Is the development likely to impact on the downstream capacity of foul and / or surface water sewers?	No	Maybe	Yes					
Employment	35. Would the site development facilitate the provision of additional/new/upgraded								
-	business premises?(please circle) Yes. Not applicable. No								
	36. Is the site within walking distance of local businesses?	Yes		No					
	37. Would the site development support local businesses?	Yes		No					
	38. Would the site development involve loss of business premises?	No		Yes					
Landscape	39. Does natural screening exist?	Yes		No					
	40. Will the site interrupt views into the village?	No	Maybe	Yes					
	41. Will the site interrupt views out of the village?	No	Maybe	Yes					
	42. Would the site development have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape?	No	Maybe	Yes					
Impact on wildlife and	43. Are there any environmental/wildlife designations on or within close proximity to the site?	No		Yes					
green assets	44. Are there any tree preservation orders in or near the site for development?	No		Yes					
	45. Are there any protected species in or near the site	No		Yes					

	for development? (evidence required)				
	46. Will development of the site have a detrimental	No	Maybe	Yes	
	impact on the conservation of wildlife?				
Utilities	47. Is there sufficient local capacity to accommodate				
	the development of:				
	Mobile and landline telephone connectivity?	Yes		No	
	High Speed Broadband? Yes No				
	48. Please circle which of the following utilities the site has access to				
	Mains water. Gas supply. Mains water sewerage.	Electric	al supply.	None	

support? 52. Would the landowner consider part-site yes Maybe No development 53. How many dwellings would the part-site development support? 54. Is the development site to the rear of existing No yes properties? 55. Does the development offer road frontage? Yes No 56. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site 57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of yes No oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing Yes No footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining No Maybe Yes uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 64. Distance to Lower School	SECTION 4: SUSTAINABILITY/SUITABILITY (Is the site a suitable location for development					
Housing Transport & Access 49. Could the site development provide affordable housing provision? 50. Could the site provide older people's accommodation? 51. How many dwellings would the development site support? 52. Would the landowner consider part-site development support? 53. How many dwellings would the part-site development support? 54. Is the development site to the rear of existing properties? 55. Does the development offer road frontage? 56. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site 57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 4400m 400- 8800i 800m 64. Distance from recreation facilities 4400m 400- 8800i 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities						
Transport & Access Access Solution So			Yes	Mavbe	No	
accommodation? 51. How many dwellings would the development site support? 52. Would the landowner consider part-site development 53. How many dwellings would the part-site development support? 54. Is the development site to the rear of existing properties? 55. Does the development offer road frontage? Yes No 56. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site 57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing Yes No dotways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians With push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining No Maybe Yes uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- >800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities						
support? 52. Would the landowner consider part-site yes Maybe No development 53. How many dwellings would the part-site development support? 54. Is the development site to the rear of existing No Yes properties? 55. Does the development offer road frontage? Yes No Sec. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site 57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of yes No oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footway? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians yes No with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining No Maybe Yes uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities 6400m 400- >800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities	Access	·	Yes	Maybe	No	
52. Would the landowner consider part-site development 53. How many dwellings would the part-site development support? 54. Is the development site to the rear of existing properties? 55. Does the development offer road frontage? Yes No Sec. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site Sec. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of yes No oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 63. Distance to bus stop 400 A00 Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec.		, ,	5-10	<5	>10	
53. How many dwellings would the part-site development support? 54. Is the development site to the rear of existing properties? 55. Does the development offer road frontage? 56. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site 57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining who maybe yes uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities 57. Has the site development site to the rear of existing who with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 64. Distance from recreation facilities 55. No with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 66. Will the site development conflict with adjoining who with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 67. Will the site development conflict with adjoining who with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 68. Distance from recreation facilities		52. Would the landowner consider part-site	Yes	Maybe	No	
54. Is the development site to the rear of existing properties? 55. Does the development offer road frontage? 56. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site 57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities 7es No No No Maybe Yes No Maybe Yes No Maybe Yes No No No Maybe Yes No		53. How many dwellings would the part-site	5-10	<5	>10	
55. Does the development offer road frontage? 56. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site 57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ Yes No entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities		54. Is the development site to the rear of existing	No		Yes	
form a larger site 57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities 67. Waybe No		•	Yes		No	
57. Has the site got direct access available from the public highway? 58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities Avea Maybe No Moe No		56. Could the site be merged with an adjoining site to	No		Yes	
58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/ entrance? 59. Has the site exit / entrance got clear views of oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities 7es No N		57. Has the site got direct access available from the	Yes	Maybe	No	
oncoming traffic? 60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities 7es No Maybe Yes No Maybe Yes 400m 400- 800m 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities		58. Has oncoming traffic got clear views of site exit/	Yes		No	
60. Does the site have connections to existing footways? 61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities 7es No No Maybe Yes Ves Vo Maybe Yes Ves Vo Maybe Yes Ves Vo Maybe Yes Ves Vo Maybe Ves Ves Ves Vo Maybe Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ve		I ~	Yes		No	
61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians with push chairs, cyclists and wheelchair users 62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses? 63. Distance to bus stop 64. Distance to Lower School 65. Distance from recreation facilities Yes No Maybe Yes No Maybe Yes No Maybe Yes No Maybe Yes A00m 400- 800m 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities		60. Does the site have connections to existing	Yes		No	
62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining uses?NoMaybeYes63. Distance to bus stop<400m400-800m64. Distance to Lower School<400m400-800m65. Distance from recreation facilities<400m400->800m		61. Can the site be accessed safely by pedestrians	Yes		No	
800m 64. Distance to Lower School 400m 800m 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- >800m 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- >800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- 800m 400- 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- 800m 400- 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- 800m 400- 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- 800m 400- 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- 800m 400- 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- 800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities 400m 400- 800m 80		62. Will the site development conflict with adjoining	No	Maybe	Yes	
64. Distance to Lower School <400m 400- 800m >800m 65. Distance from recreation facilities <400m 400- 400- >800m			<400m		>800m	
65. Distance from recreation facilities <400m 400- >800i		64. Distance to Lower School	<400m	400-	>800m	
1 1 2 2 2 1 1		65. Distance from recreation facilities	<400m	400-	>800m	
66. Distance from food shop <400m 400- >800m 800m		66. Distance from food shop	<400m	400-	>800m	
Assessors Name: (Capitals)	Assessors Na	me: (Capitals) Assessors Signature: Date:				
Sub Totals:		Sub Total	g.			
Col A+B Col B+C		Sub Totals		B Col	B+C	
SECTION 4 SUSTAINABILITY SCORE		SECTION 4 SUSTAINABILITY SCOR		5 001	3.0	

Site is suitable (continue assessment)		be suitable assessment)	_	ite is not suitable no further action)
SECTION 5: SITE SCORE RATI	NG			
Score c/f	Col	A + B		Col B + C
SECTION 2				
SECTION 3				
SECTION 4				
Grand totals				

CONCLUSION:		
Site is suitable	Site may be suitable	Site is not suitable
(continue assessment)	(continue assessment)	(no further action)
Scorer's Name(s): (Block Capitals)	Scorer's: Signature(s)	Date:

<u>Key</u>	
	Questions derived from consultation and questionnaire (i.e. what is acceptable to Parishioners)
	Questions to be answered using existing documentation.

COMMENTS (Please number comments according to number allocated to question)

APPENDIX 3

STEERING GROUP SITE DETERMINATION CRITERIA & LOG SHEET

Site Number



Site determination criteria and log sheet

Please use these criteria to judge whether or not each site is suitable for consideration by The Steering Group to be put forward for further recommendation as a "preferred site". Tick boxes as appropriate

NO MAYBE YES

A Is the site available for development?			
B Is the site in flood area 3a/3b.?			
C Will the landowner only accept developments of more	*		
than 10 dwellings?			
D Is the site to the rear of existing properties?			
E Is the site within the settlement boundary?			
F Is the site adjacent to the settlement boundary?			
G Is the site within an existing community?			
H Has the site has potential for including affordable			
housing or suitable accommodation for older people?			
	ı	1	

If any answer to A is NO then go no further

If the answer to question B is YES then go no further

If the answer to C or D is YES then go no further

If the answer to E $\,$ is NO then go to F, G and H $\,$

Conclusion Tick appropriate box. Site deemed unsuitable for development Site may be suitable for development but not recommended for a "preferred site" Site to go forward for consideration as "a preferred site"

Grading comments

RED BOX

Those excluded on questions A to D

GREEN BOX

Site is within settlement boundary, adjacent to settlement boundary or within an existing community and has potential for inclusion of affordable housing .

AMBER BOX

Site is within settlement boundary but has no potential for affordable housing.

Site adjacent to the settlement boundary or within an existing community with no potential for affordable housing

APPENDIX 4

GREEN (PREFERRED SITES) & AMBER (MAY BE PREFFERED SITES)



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: Land north west of crossroads at Biggleswade Rd Upper
Plot 11	Caldecote, running parallel to B658
Description Access	Greenfield site. 4 acres (1.6 hectares) open farmland bordered on south and east sides by highway. Agricultural land in high level stewardship scheme (grey partridge conservation) currently in use. Natural screening exists along roadsides Off B658 Shefford to Sandy Rd or from Ickwell Rd Upper Caldecote
Comments	The site is immediately available for development and within
	 the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would accommodate >10 dwellings. The owner is willing to consider part site development of 5-10 dwellings The site is not to the rear of existing properties The site is not within the settlement boundary. The site is adjacent to the settlement boundary (confirmed with CBC by phone to duty planning officer 7.9.16) The site is within an existing community The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's accommodation. Development along Ickwell Rd would impact on grade 1 (excellent) agricultural land. The remainder of the site is grade 2 (very good) agricultural land. Northill Parish Council identified site as suitable for housing development when consulted by CBC Development might interrupt views into and out of the village The site offers road frontage and could merge with an adjoining site to form a larger site The site has direct access from the public highway. There are clear views of oncoming traffic at either potential road exit and an exit could be made within the 30mph village zone. There is no access for pedestrians, wheelchair users at present but could be provided as part of development. The site is 400-800 metres from the school and food shop, <400 metres from a bus stop and >800 metres from recreation facilities





NP Site Ref:	Site Name: Caldecote Fields Ickwell (South)
Plot 19	The reality series of reality is a series of the series of
Description	Greenfield site. 1.7 acres (0.68 hectares). Presently used as grassland for hay or for stock to graze. Open frontage to Caldecote Rd Ickwell
Access	Access off Caldecote Rd Ickwell The site is immediately available for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would support >10 dwellings and part site development of 5-10 dwellings would be considered The site is not to the rear of existing properties The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is within an existing community The site could support affordable housing or may support older people's accommodation Development may have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape and will impact on grade 3 (good to moderate) agricultural land The site will not interrupt views into or out of the village or have a detrimental impact on the conservation of wildlife Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site and the site offers road frontage with direct access available from the public highway and clear views of oncoming traffic in both directions The site can be accessed safely by pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users The site is<400 metres from a bus stop and recreational facilities and > 800 metres from a school and food shop Access is required to the field behind The site could be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: Caldecote Rd Ickwell (north)
Plot 20	Site Name. Caldecote Ru texwell (north)
Description	Greenfield site. 11.9 acres (4.83 hectares) of arable field, on outskirts of Ickwell, growing corn crops
Access	Gateway opening
Access	



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: Thorncote Rd (West)
Plot 25	(1113)
Description	Greenfield land. 1.4 acres (0.56 hectares) with west boundary extendable to 8 acres (3.23 hectares). Land beside (2 acres) under separate ownership. Agricultural land, currently rented out. Hedge boundary roadside with ditch
Access	Direct access from Thorncote Rd via field entrance
Comments	 The site is available for development any time after crops and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency The 1.4 acre site would support 5-10 dwellings. The landowner might consider part site development of <5 dwellings The site is not to the rear of existing properties The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is directly adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is within an existing community The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's accommodation Development would impact on grade 3 (good to moderate) agricultural land The site is 400-800 metres from a conservation area and there is no detrimental impact on views into, setting of or approaches to the conservation area. Development would not interrupt views into or out of the village Natural screening exists, the development offers road frontage and has direct access available from the public highway with clear views of traffic in both directions The site could be merged with an adjoining site to make a larger site The site is <400 metres from a bus stop, school and recreation facilities and >800 metres from a food shop



CBC Site Ref: NI	LP 216
NP Site	Site Name: Truin's Field, Thorncote/Hatch
Plot 28	
Description	Greenfield site. 1.75 acres (0.70 hectares) North of Thorncote Rd. Fallow
	grassland not in current use
Access	Via Thorncote Rd just before Hatch crossroads
Comments	 The site is immediately available for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would support >10 dwellings. The landowner would consider part site development of 5-10 dwellings The site is not to the rear of existing properties The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is within an existing community The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's accommodation but it may be too far from amenities for older people Development would impact on grade 3 (good to moderate) agricultural land No natural screening exists The site will not interrupt views into or out of the hamlet or have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape The site could not be merged with an adjoining site to make a larger site Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site (road), the site offers road frontage, has direct access available from the public highway and has clear views of oncoming traffic in both directions The site has no connection to existing footways and cannot be accessed safely by pedestrians or wheelchair users. The site is <400 metres from a bus stop and local food shop and>800 metres from the school and recreation facilities



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: Village Farm Paddock, Thorncote Green
Plot L5	
Description	Greenfield site. 0.64 acre (0.26 hectare) paddock bounded by hedges
Access	Proposed gate near farmers gate onto Thorncote Rd
Comments	 The site is immediately available for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would accommodate <5 dwellings. Part site development of <5 dwellings would be considered The site is not behind existing properties The site is not within the existing settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is within an existing community The site may provide affordable housing or older person's accommodation Development would impact on grade 3 (good to moderate) agricultural land The proposal is for one family house The corner of the site has been known to be wet Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site The site would interrupt views into and out of the hamlet The site has natural screening, offers road frontage and has direct access from the public highway. The site cannot be accessed safely by pedestrians or wheelchair users. The site is <400 metres from bus stop and food shop and >800 metres from school and recreation facilities



	Site Name: Land between 154 and 158 Biggleswade Rd Upper Caldecote. THIS SITE WAS SUBSEQUENTLY GRANTED PLANNING
	PERMISSION FOR 3 DWELLINGS
Description	Brownfield site. 1.25 acres (0.5 hectares) of yard and buildings currently lightly used as storage. Owner retiring soon.
Access	Direct from Biggleswade Rd
Comments	 The site is available immediately for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would support <5 dwellings. The landowner would consider part site development of <5 dwellings The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is within an existing community The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's accommodation Natural screening exists, development would not interrupt views into or out of the village or have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape and the site could not be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site Development would involve the demolition of existing structures Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site which offers road frontage and footways exist opposite the site The site can be accessed safely by pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users The site is <400 metres from a bus stop, >800 metres from a school and 400-800 metres from recreation facilities and food shop



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: Opposite 150-158 Biggleswade Rd Upper Caldecote
Plot L9	40. ST
Description	Greenfield site approximately 2 acres (0.80 hectares) with land adjacent of
	another 5 acres. Used for grazing and hay
Access	Directly from Biggleswade Rd
Comments	 The site is available immediately for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps. The site would support >10 dwellings. The landowner would consider part site development of 5-10 houses The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is within an existing community The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's accommodation Development would impact on grade 1 (excellent) quality land Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site and there are clear views of oncoming traffic in both directions The site offers road frontage with direct access available from the public highway and might be able to merge with an adjoining site to form a larger site. Natural screening exists Development would interrupt views into and out of the village Development would not have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape The site can be accessed safely by pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users
	 The site is <400 metres from a bus stop and 400-800 metres from school recreation facilities and food shop



CBC Site Ref: NI	LP 479 Site Name: Graces Meadow, Upper Caldecote
Description	Greenfield site. 2.42 acres (0.98 hectares) meadow adjacent to Upper
	Caldecote Green used for grazing. There is a ditch between the field and
	The Green
Access	Via gate on The Green
Comments	 The site is available immediately for development and within the
	next 13 years
	 The site is not within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment
	Agency maps
	 The site would support >10 dwellings. The landowner would
	consider part site development of 5-10 dwellings
	The site is not to the rear of existing properties
	The site is not within the settlement boundary
	The site is adjacent to the settlement boundary
	The site is within an existing community
	 The site could accommodate affordable housing or older
	people's accommodation
	 Development would impact on Grade 1 (excellent) quality agricultural land
	The site does not have road frontage but may have access to the
	public highway
	Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site
	Development may interrupt views out of the village and have a
	detrimental impact on a valued landscape
	 The site could be merged with an adjoining site (ALP 397) to make a larger site
	The site has connections to existing footways and can be
	accessed safely by cyclists, pedestrians and wheelchair users.
	The site is <400 metres from a bus stop, school and food shop
	and 400-800 metres from recreation facilities
	#200 0.0 m (1 m (2 m) 1 m (



CBC ALP124	Broadview, Biggleswade Rd Upper Caldecote
Description	Status as brownfield /greenfield site unknown. 0.64 hectares containing
	1x derelict home, 1x large house divided into two flats, 2 x derelict barns
	and 1x derelict hut previously used for mechanical business.
Access	Direct from Biggleswade Rd – two possible entrances
Comments	 Planning permission granted July 2014 to double the size of the
	house.
	 The site is available immediately for development and within the
	next 13 years
	The site is not within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment
	Agency maps
	 The site would support >10 dwellings. The landowner would
	consider part site development of 5-10 dwellings
	The site is not within the settlement boundary
	The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary
	The site is within an existing community
	The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's
	accommodation
	 Planning permission already granted on this site for conversion of agricultural building into 1 dwelling. CB/15/01879,
	demolition of 2 barns and replacement with 2 dwellings
	CB/15/04370, erection of replacement detached dwelling
	CB/15/04794, replacement of 2 storey detached dwelling
	CB/14/04661
	Development would not impact on high quality agricultural land
	as the site has already been built over
	Natural screening exists, development would not interrupt views
	into or out of the village or have a detrimental impact on a valued
	landscape
	The site could be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger
	site and development would involve the demolition of existing
	structures
	 Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site which offers road
	frontage.
	 The site cannot be accessed safely by pedestrians and wheelchair
	users but a footway could be constructed as part of any
	development
	 The site is <400 metres from a bus stop, recreation facilities and
	food shop and >800 metres from a school



CBC Site Ref: NLP 263 (residential use)	
NP Site Ref:	Site Name: Land behind (South of) Affordable Housing Biggleswade Rd, Upper
Plot 6	Caldecote
Description	Greenfield site. 21.7 acres (8.78 hectares) of agricultural arable land currently under cultivation. Open boundaries on all aspects except the north which has a post wire fence to roadway behind affordable houses.
Access	Off eastern end of Biggleswade Rd through right of way for pedestrian, agricultural and vehicular use behind affordable houses
Comments	 The site is immediately available for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would support >10 dwellings. The landowner would consider part site development of more than 10 dwellings. The section of the site identified by parishioners for possible development is to the rear of existing properties. However the site also includes a rectangular section adjacent to site 5 which has road frontage and is not to the rear of existing properties. The site is not within the settlement boundary. The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary. The site is within an existing community The site could support affordable housing or older people accommodation Development would impact on grade 1 (excellent) agricultural land Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site and there are connections to existing footways Natural screening exists Development could interrupt views into the village from footpath 9 The site could be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site Part of the site has direct access to the public highway with clear views in both directions The site can be accessed safely by pedestrians, wheelchair users and cyclists The site is >800 metres from the school, 400-800 metres from recreation facilities and food shop and <400 metres from a bus stop



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: "Sunflower Field" Water Lane, Upper Caldecote			
Plot 8				
Description	Greenfield site. 4.2 acres (1.7 hectares) of agricultural land currently farmed in rotation to supply bird seed mix for wild birds. Natural screening in the form of hedging and trees exists.			
Access	Via Water Lane or Harvey Close			
Comments	 The site is immediately available and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would accommodate >10 dwellings. The landowner is willing to consider part site development of <10 dwellings 			
	Part of the site is to the rear of properties in Harvey Close.			
	However the remainder of the site is not and has road frontage			
	The site is not within the settlement boundary			
	The site is adjacent to the settlement boundary			
	 The site is within an existing community The site could provide affordable housing or older people's accommodation 			
	Development would impact on grade 1 (excellent) agricultural land			
	 The site may interrupt views into the village from Harvey Close depending on where development is placed 			
	 The site will not interrupt views out of the village or have a detrimental impact on the conservation of wildlife 			
	The site offers road frontage, has connections to existing			
	footways and direct access to the public highway			
	 Clear views into and out of the site will depend on where the site exit is placed. 			
	The site might be able to be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site			
	 The site can be accessed safely by pedestrians, wheelchair users and cyclists. 			
	The site is <400 metres from the bus stop, recreation facilities and food shop and 400-800 metres from the school			



NP Site ref: Plot 10	Land adjacent to Upper Caldecote Cemetery on right hand side of B658 Shefford to Sandy Rd just past cross road with Biggleswade Rd and outside			
<u> </u>	the village limits			
Description	Greenfield site. 21.8 acres (8.82 hectares) arable agricultural land in high level stewardship scheme (grey partridge conservation). Natural screening in the form of hedging exists			
Access	Off B658 Shefford to Sandy Rd, past crossroads with Biggleswade Rd on right hand side outside village limits			
Comments	 The site is immediately available for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would accommodate >10 dwellings. The owner is willing to consider part site development of >10 dwellings The site is not to the rear of existing properties The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is on the outskirts of the village and may be within an existing community The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's accommodation Development would impact on grade 2 (very good) agricultural land Public rights of way adjacent to site Development may interrupt views in and out of the village and may have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape from footpath 12 There is a gas/petrol pipeline across the north west corner of the field The site has road frontage and could be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site. There is direct access from the site onto 8658 in a 60mph speed limit The site can be accessed by cyclists, pedestrians and wheelchair users from the village and up to the end of the new churchyard only The site is <400 metres from a food shop and bus stop, > 800 metres from recreation facilities and 400-800 metres from a school. 			



NP Site Ref: Plot 14a	Site Name: West of Rainbow Rentals Ickwell Rd Upper Caldecote			
Description	Greenfield site. 3-4 acres (1.21 – 1.61 hectares) fallow land, not in current			
	use, on the south side of Ickwell Rd Upper Caldecote			
Access	Off Ickwell Rd Upper Caldecote			
Comments	 The site is immediately available for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site could accommodate >10 dwellings and the owner might accept part site development (no upper limit given) 			
	 The site is to the rear of existing houses on east, west and south sides but a section of the north side facing onto Ickwell Rd Upper Caldecote is not. 			
	The site is not within the settlement boundary			
	· Part of the site is adjacent to the settlement boundary on the east			
	side behind existing houses			
	The site is within an existing community			
	· The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's			
	accommodation			
	 Development would impact on grade 1 (excellent) agricultural land. 			
	Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site			
	 The site will not interrupt views into or out of the village, not have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape or the conservation of wildlife 			
	 The site provides existing infrastructure and natural screening exists 			
	A section of the northern aspect of the site offers road frontage			
	 There are no connections to existing footways and the site cannot be accessed by pedestrians or wheelchair users unless a footway is constructed. 			
	 The site is <400 metres from the bus stop and food shop and 400- 800 metres from the school and recreation facilities 			
	 Houses to be built in an adjoining piece of land 			



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: West of Chapmans			
Plot 14b				
Description	Greenfield Site. Fallow agricultural land used as paddock. Adjacent to west side of plot 14a			
Access	Road frontage to Ickwell Rd Caldecote			
Comments	 The site is immediately available for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would support <5 dwellings and the land owner would not consider part site development The site is not to the rear of existing properties The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is extending the edge of an existing community The site could support affordable housing and older people's accommodation Development would impact on grade 1 (excellent) agricultural land Development would not interrupt views into or out of the village or have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape There are no public rights of way across or adjacent to the site. The site offers road frontage with clear views of traffic in both directions. Development would require the demolition of one stable block and shed The site could be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site The site is <400 metres from a bus stop and food shop, 400-800 metres from a school and recreation facilities Site development may conflict with adjoining uses 			



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: West of Oak Tree Nursery, Hill Lane Upper Caldecote				
Plot 18					
Description	Greenfield Site. 13.54 acres (5.48 hectares) of agricultural arable land				
Access	Via Hill Lane Upper Caldecote				
Access	 Via Hill Lane Upper Caldecote The site is immediately available for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would support >10 dwellings and part site development of 5-10 dwellings would be considered The site may be to the rear of existing properties The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is within an existing community The site could support affordable housing or may support older people's accommodation Development would impact on grade 1 (excellent) agricultural land Development may interrupt views out of the village and may have a detrimental impact on a valued landscape Public rights of way exist adjacent to the site and the site offers road frontage with clear views of oncoming traffic The site could be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site The site cannot be accessed safely by pedestrians and wheelchair 				
	 users The site is <400 metres from a food shop and bus stop and >800 				
	metres from the school and recreational facilities				



NP Site Ref:	Site Name: Thorncote Road East, Northill?			
Plot 24a				
Description	Greenfield site. Approximately 3 acre (1.21 hectare) or less field behind house. Presently used as grassland			
Access	Gate from Thorncote Rd			
Description	 The site is available immediately for development and within the next 13 years The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency The site would support >10 dwellings. The landowner would consider part site development of 5-10 dwellings Part of the site is to the rear of existing property. However the west side of the site fronting onto Thorncote Rd is not The site is not in the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is within an existing community The site could accommodate affordable housing or older people's accommodation Development would impact on grade 3 (good to moderate) agricultural land The site provides existing infrastructure and could be merged with an adjoining site to form a larger site The site is 400-800 metres from a conservation area and development may have a detrimental impact on views into the conservation area Development would interrupt views into and out of the village 			
	 The site has natural screening, offers road frontage, direct access to the public highway and clear views of oncoming traffic in both directions 			
	 The site connects to existing footways and cannot be accessed safely by wheelchair users or pedestrians unless the footway is extended 			
	 The site is <400 metres from bus stop and school, 400-800 metres from a food shop and >800 metres from recreation facilities 			



APPENDIX 5

RED (SITES NOT PREFFERED)

Site Ref	Site Name	Reasons for not being a preferred site
NP Plot 1	Sainsbury's	The site is within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps
NP Plot 2a	Hill Lane, Upper Caldecote	 The eastern aspect is flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would support >10 dwellings. The owner would consider part site development of > 10 dwellings. The site is not within the settlement boundary. The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is not within an existing community
NP Plot 2b	Woodland Nurseries	The site is within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps
NP Plot 3	Paddocks, North of Tingey's Corner, Upper Caldecote. Adjacent to A1	 The site is not in the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is not in an existing community
NP Plot 4a	Godfrey's Field & The Two Acre, Lower Caldecote. Land between Lower Caldecote and Upper Caldecote adjacent to A1	 Half the site is within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps The site would support >10 dwellings. The landowner would consider part site development of >10 dwellings. The site is not in the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is not in an existing community
NP Plot 4b	Land south of site 4a and north of Biggleswade Rd, Upper Caldecote	Owner not interested in putting land forward
NP Plot 7	Behind South side of Biggleswade Rd. West of sites 5&6.	 The site is available as it has been offered to CBC. To date the owner has not been traced The site is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 9a(i)	Watts Nursery Biggleswade Rd, Upper Caldecote	 The site would accommodate >10 houses. The owner is willing to consider part site development of >10 houses The site is to the rear of existing properties

Site Ref	Site Name	Reasons for not being a preferred site
NP Plot 9a(ii)	Site Name: "Pup's Field" Land to rear of Alms Houses, Biggleswade Rd, Upper Caldecote	 The site would support >10 dwellings. The owner would consider part site development of >10 dwellings The site is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 9b(i)	Field behind Atterton's Farm	 Owner has stated her land not available Owners of Atterton's Farm have retained one third of an acre of the land (no clear demarcation). They will only contact us if owner of 9b(i) changes her mind
NP Plot 9b(ii)	Taddy's Field. Land to rear of 67 – 103 Biggleswade Rd, Upper Caldecote	 The site would accommodate >10 houses. The owner is willing to consider part site development of >10 houses The site is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 12	Land north west of crossroads at Biggleswade Rd, Upper Caldecote, adjacent to Plot 11	 The site would accommodate >10 houses. The owner is willing to consider part site development of >10 houses The site is not within the settlement boundary The site not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is not within an existing community
NP Plot 13a	Land west of Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote and north of Hill Lane	 The site would support >10 dwellings. The owner would support part site development of >10 houses The site is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 13b	Land behind 80-88a Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote	 Questionnaire not returned by site owner The site is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 13c	Land behind 64-78 Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote	The site is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 13d	Land behind 50-62 Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote.	 Land is not available (overage clause) Land is behind existing properties
NP Plot 13e	Land behind 42-48a Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote	The site is to the rear of existing properties

Site Ref	Site Name	Reasons for not being a preferred site
NP Plot 13f	Pasture and yard behind Post Office, Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote	The site is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 13g	Land behind 28 Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote	 Land not available The land is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 13h	Land adjacent to Ickwell Rd, Upper Caldecote	Land not available
NP Plot 13i	Land behind (west) of 13c off Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote	 The site is to the rear of existing properties The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is not within an existing community
NP Plot 15	Rainbow Rentals, Ickwell Rd, Upper Caldecote	Planning permission already obtained
NP Plot 16	Land adjacent to Upper Caldecote Lower School opposite the Post Office in Hitchin Rd, Upper Caldecote	Land not available for development
NP Plot 21	Land north of brook dividing Ickwell and Northill, opposite Carpenter's Field and adjacent to "The Beeches"	 Land not available for development The site is not within flood areas 3 based on Environment Agency maps
NP Plot 22	Arable landto the east of Lark Rise Exception Site	The site is to the rear of existing properties
NP Plot 23	Field behind Greenacres, Northill. North of Sand Lane and east of Thorncote Rd	The site is to the rear of existing properties

oad East, Northill	The site is within the settlement boundary
	,
	The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary
	The site is not within an existing community
nt ot 24a and 24b,	Land not available for development
d, Northill	
th East side of Bedford	Site in probate, complicated inheritance, owners not available
adjoining College Wood.	
atch of land East of	Land is not available for development
d, Thorncote Green	
Paddock, Thorncote	Land is not available for development
latch	The site is within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps
Thorncote Rd, Northill	The site is to the rear of existing properties
	The site is within a Conservation area
dock toward end of	• The site would support >10 dwellings. The landowner would consider part site development of >10 houses
continuimg on from	The site is not within the settlement boundary
orthill	The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary
	The site is not within an existing community
k, Vinegar Hill	The site is not within the settlement boundary
	The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary
	The site is not within an existing community
Barracks Bedford Rd,	The site is to the rear of existing properties
te the Old Barley Mow,	The site is within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps
	nt ot 24a and 24b, d, Northill th East side of Bedford adjoining College Wood. atch of land East of d, Thorncote Green Paddock, Thorncote Hatch Thorncote Rd, Northill dock toward end of continuimg on from lorthill k, Vinegar Hill Barracks Bedford Rd, te the Old Barley Mow,

Site Ref	Site Name	Reasons for not being a preferred site
NP Plot L10	Thorncote Rd. Land on north side of	The site is within flood risk zones 3 based on Environment Agency maps
CBC ALP 218	Thorncote Rd at Hatch crossroads	
CBC ALP 397	Caldecote House Farm, Upper Caldecote	Development would be to the rear of existing properties
CBC ALP 428	Adjacent to 29 Bedford Rd, Northill	 The site is not within the settlement boundary The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary The site is not within an existing community
CBC NLP 205	Land in Hatch adjoining the North West of Woodside Caravan Park	Outwith the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan as advised by CBC
CBC NLP 350	Land North East of Bedford Rd, Northill, near cemetery.	The site is to the rear of existing properties
CBC NLP 441	Land off Grange Lane, Lower Caldecote.	Outwith the remit of Neighbourhood Plan as advised by CBC

APPENDIX 6

COMMENT SHEET FROM SITE EXHIBITIONS



Which village do you live in?	1
The postcode is:	

Thank you for coming to our Sites' Exhibition. The Steering Group have used a traffic light system to rate the sites suggested to us at our consultations last year, plus those offered by local landowners and to the Central Bedfordshire Council "Call for Sites" earlier this year. There are 10 sites rated green, and 8 rated amber

1.Tell us which are your **FOUR preferred sites**, and tick the appropriate reason- the Steering Group have suggested some common reasons, but please add others if you wish.

	Please tick the appropriate box, or tell us why in "other reasons"					
Site	Infill –	Extends	Brownfield	Other reasons – please try to use keywords like		
Number	ie	or near to	Site	"access", "traffic", "infrastructure", "drainage"		
	filling a	existing				
	gap	properties				

2. Tell us which sites you do not agree with, and again tell us why - we have suggested some	ne common reasons/
--	--------------------

Site Number	Not in keeping with rural character of surroundings	Extends too far into open countryside	Joins up existing settlements	Other reasons – please try to use keywords like "access", "traffic", "infrastructure" "drainage"

Do you have any other comments? (Continue overleaf, if necessary, but please use keywords where possible Remember to put the site number by your comment(s).

Site Number(s)	Comment(s)
Number(s)	

APPENDIX 7

SUMMARY TABLE OF SITE PREFERENCES

NP RAG Rated: Preferred (Green) May be preferred (Amber) Not preferred (Red)	Willing to accept an exception site?	Relationship to Settlement Envelope	Has site been allocated by CBC Call for Sites process or been granted planning permission by CBC for market housing?	Outcome 1
25	NO	Not Applicable	Yes – Allocated	Not Available to NP
L5 NLP206	NO	Not Applicable	No	Not Preferred
L9	NO	Not Applicable	Not Submitted	Not Preferred
ALP124	NO	Not Applicable	Yes – Planning Permission	Not Available to NP
11	NO	Not Applicable	Yes – Allocated	Not Available to NP
NLP479	NO	Not Applicable	No	Not Preferred
14A	NO	Not Applicable	Not Submitted	Not Preferred
ALP122	NO	Not Applicable	Yes – Planning Permission	Not Available to NP
10	NO	Not Applicable	Not Submitted	Not Preferred
5	MAYBE	Open Countryside	No	Not Preferred
14B	MAYBE	Open Countryside	Not Submitted	Not Preferred
18	YES	Open Countryside	Not Submitted	Not Preferred
17	YES	Adjacent	Not Submitted	Preferred
20	YES	Adjacent	Not Submitted	Preferred
19	YES	Adjacent	No – However, only a small piece of site along Caldecote Road required for RES	Maybe Preferred
28 NLP216	YES	Infill Outside	No – for 9 properties. However, 9 properties suits parish requirement for affordable housing	Maybe Preferred
24A	YES	Infill Outside	Not Submitted	Maybe Preferred
6 NLP263	MAYBE (NO REPLY)	Infill Outside	No – However, only a small piece of land along Biggleswade Road required for RES	Maybe Preferred

APPENDIX 8

FINAL TABLE OF SITE PREFERENCES

NP RAG Rated: Preferred (Green) May be preferred (Amber) Not preferred (Red)	Outcome 1	Other Considerations	Final Outcome
17	Preferred	 Well-liked by parishioners (32 liked, 8 disliked) Ideally placed for amenities A further RES would be proportionate to the large village Surface water flooding issues 	Preferred Policy NP8
20	Preferred	 Well-liked by parishioners (36 liked, 14 disliked) Could help achieve GIP aspirations re: footpath to Flitton Scar and Upper Caldecote One RES would be in keeping with a small rural village 	Preferred Policy NP9
28 NLP216	Maybe Preferred	 Well-liked by parishioners (26 liked, 0 disliked) Parishioners wanted development across the parish There are no rural exception sites in hamlets Indistinct boundary between hamlets mitigates against coalescence issue Transport would be required 	Preferred Policy NP10
19	Maybe Preferred	 Conflicts with GIP aspiration re: green open space although green open space could be sited behind development Two RES in the very small village of Ickwell may not be proportionate Liked by some (32 liked, 19 disliked) Further application pending for 10 market houses 	Maybe Preferred Policy NP11
24A	Maybe Preferred	 Liked by very few (2 liked, 0 disliked) Site 100m from Settlement Envelope There are already two RES in the small village of Northill CBC have allocated a market housing site nearer the centre of the village 	Maybe Preferred Policy NP11
6 NLP263	Maybe Preferred	 Disliked by parishioners (0 liked, 9 disliked) Multiple ownership, has not agreed to RES Bovis Homes have indicated the desire to put more than 10 houses on the site, most of which contravenes NP1 & NP5 A further RES in the village would be proportionate 	Not Preferred

APPENDIX 9

LETTER TO LANDOWNERS

Northill Parish Neighbourhood Plan Site Allocation

Dear

I understand you have either;

- a) submitted a site(s) to the Neighbourhood Planning Steering Group for housing development consideration or
- b) Parishioners have identified land which you own as a potential housing development site(s) and you have agreed that the land can go forward for consideration.

As you may be aware, under Central Bedfordshire's (CBC) existing Local Plan (2009, enclosed) development outside the settlement envelopes for Northill, Ickwell and Upper Caldecote, "where the countryside needs to be protected from inappropriate development, only particular types of new development will be permitted in accordance with national guidance. ----- This includes residential development on Exceptions Schemes -------or dwellings for the essential needs of those employed in agriculture or forestry, or that which re-uses or replaces an existing dwelling" (Policy DM4). In addition parishioner's preference, as stated in the Northill Parish Questionnaire, supports development within or adjacent to the settlement area and keeping the size of development to 10 or less dwellings. The hamlets within the Parish have no settlement envelope and are considered by CBC to be part of the open countryside. Based on this information and with knowledge that;

- a) all sites that have been offered to the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group are outside the settlement envelope
- b) both market housing and affordable housing are required within the Parish,

I have been asked by the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group to find out if you would be willing to accept the following on your plot of land:

one rural exception site of 10 or less dwellings (mostly affordable dwellings) which includes
a limited number of market houses, in accordance with Policy 35 (enclosed) of the now
withdrawn 2014 Development Strategy for Central Bedfordshire.

The site allocation has not yet been completed and this request for information does not infer that your site has, or has not, been chosen. I would be grateful if you would reply by telephone to ------ (answerphone in operation) before Sunday 2nd April to state your view. Site allocation is imminent so any request for additional time to consider your reply should be made on receipt of this letter and is likely to be under 7 days.

Regards

Vice Chair Northill Parish Neighbourhood Plan